

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,935	09/07/2005	Yusuke Hisada	267285US90PCT	7558	
23850 7599 06699/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SU, SARAH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2131		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/09/2008	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/526,935 HISADA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Sarah Su 2131 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,4,5,7 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/05, 1/30/07.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/526,935 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment received on 11 April 2005, has been entered into record.
 In this amendment, claims 14 and 15 have been amended.

Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

Priority

- Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 4. The claim for priority from PCT/JP04/09446 filed on 2 July 2004 is duly noted.

Specification

- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. in page 2, line 27: "be effected" should read -be affected-;
 - in page 7, line 9: "DISCLOSRE" should read –DISCLOSURE—;
 - c. in page 8, line 4: "gateway unit:" should read –gateway unit.—:

Application/Control Number: 10/526,935 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

d. in page 9, line 6: "unit; said" should read –unit, said–.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 8. Claims 1, 4-5, 7 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In claim 1, line 5: "VPN client units" is unclear if it relates to "client units" (claim 1, line 2);
 - b. In claim 1, lines 7-8: "unit; said method" should read –unit, said method-;
 - In claim 1, line 14: "an IP private address" is unclear if it relates to "a private IP address" (claim 1, lines 9-10);
 - In claim 4, line 3 and claim 5, line 7: "an access control list" is unclear if it relates to "an access control list" (claim 1, line 9):
 - e. In claim 5, line 14: "a communication channel" is unclear if it relates to "a communication channel" (claim 5. line 11):
 - f. In claim 7, line 6: "the tunneling protocol configuration management information" lacks antecedent basis:
 - g. In claim 9, line 8: "unit; said apparatus" should read –unit, said apparatus–
 - h. In claim 9, lines 10-11: "the private IP address" lacks antecedent basis;
 - i. In claim 9, line 16: "the IP address" lacks antecedent basis;
 - j. In claim 9, line 22: "said PN client unit" should read –said VPN client unit-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/526,935

Art Unit: 2131

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5)
 because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: step S10 (page 23, line 10), in reference to Figure 2.
- 10. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because:
 - a. reference character "S1" has been used to designate the communication from the VPN Gateway Unit B to the Mediating Apparatus S (Figure 2), Rrquest for DNS Query (Figure 18, and the communication from the VPN Gateway Function Part for Mediation Service to the Mediation Service Management Table (Figure 21).
 - b. It is noted that similar designations have been made in regards to steps S2-S9 in Figures 2, 18 and 21. The Examiner requests that these issues be resolved.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/526,935

Art Unit: 2131

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Daude et al. (US 2004/0088542 A1 and Daude hereinafter) in view of Malinen et al. (US 2004/0266420 A1 and Malinen hereinafter).

As to claim 1, Daude discloses a system and method for virtual private network crossovers based on certificates, the system and method having:

Application/Control Number: 10/526,935 Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

(a) sending an access control list containing information indicative of a private IP address assigned to said communication unit to a mediating apparatus (i.e. device) on said IP network from said VPN gateway unit (0044, lines 2, 11-13; 0046, lines 3-4);

- (b) storing said access control list by said mediating apparatus (i.e. routers) in correspondence to said VPN gateway unit (0044, line 2):
- (c) retrieving an IP private address corresponding to said VPN gateway unit (i.e. interconnecting device) in response to a request from said VPN client unit (0052, lines 6-9), acquiring the private IP address of the corresponding communication unit from said access control list, sending the acquired private IP address to said VPN client unit (0044, lines 4-8), sending the IP address of said VPN client unit to said VPN gateway unit (0095, lines 4-6), generating mutual authentication information (i.e. certificate) for setting up an authenticated encrypted tunnel between said client VPN unit and said gateway unit (0108, lines 9-11), and sending said mutual authentication information to both of said VPN client unit and said gateway unit (0096, lines 4-8).

Daude does not disclose:

(d) setting up said authenticated encrypted tunnel between said VPN client unit and said gateway unit by use of said mutual authentication information, and implementing remote access through said encrypted tunnel by use of the private IP address of said communication unit.

Art Unit: 2131

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the teachings disclosed by Daude, as evidenced by Malinen.

Malinen discloses a system and method for secure mobile connectivity, the system and method having:

(d) setting up said authenticated encrypted tunnel between said VPN client unit and said gateway unit by use of said mutual authentication information, and implementing remote access through said encrypted tunnel by use of the private IP address of said communication unit (0004, lines 4-9: 0042. lines 1-3).

Given the teaching of Malinen, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the teachings of Daude with the teachings of Malinen by creating an authenticated encrypted tunnel for remote access communications. Malinen recites motivation by disclosing that defining a protocol for an authenticated encrypted tunnel for communications ensures the security of transmitted information packets (0004, lines 1-4). It is obvious that the teachings of Malinen would have improved the teachings of Daude by creating an authenticated encrypted tunnel for communications in order to ensure the security of packets being transmitted.

As to claim 2. Daude discloses:

wherein said access control list contains attribute information about said VPN client unit (0007, lines 5-8).

Art Unit: 2131

As to claim 3, Daude discloses:

wherein said step (a) includes a step of encrypting a communication channel between said mediating apparatus and said VPN gateway unit or a VPN gateway management unit having an authority of its management (0023, lines 3-5), and sending said access control list from said VPN gateway unit to said mediating apparatus (0044, line 2, 11-13; 0046, lines 3-4).

As to claim 4, Daude discloses:

wherein said step (b) includes steps of: authenticating said VPN gateway unit by said mediating apparatus (0096, lines 4-8);

storing an access control list for said VPN client unit sent from said VPN gateway unit when the authentication is successful (0044, line 2).

14. Claims 5, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daude in view of Malinen as applied to claims 2 and 3 above, and further in view of Sutanto (US 2003/0039240 A1).

As to claim 5, Daude, combined with Malinen, discloses:

wherein said step (c) includes the steps of: (c-0) on receiving a request for retrieval of an IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit

Art Unit: 2131

from said VPN client unit, verifying whether said VPN client unit has an authority of access to said VPN gateway unit (0052, lines 4-6);

only when said VPN client unit has said access authority, (c-1) referring to an access control list, and acquiring the private IP address assigned to said communication unit (0044, lines 4-8):

- (c-3) encrypting a communication channel between said mediating apparatus and said VPN client unit (0023, lines 3-5), and sending the IP address of said VPN gateway unit and the private IP address of said communication unit to said VPN client unit (0044, lines 4-8; 0052, lines 6-9);
- (c-4) encrypting a communication channel between said mediating apparatus and said VPN gateway unit (0023, lines 3-5), and sending to said VPN gateway unit a global IP address of said VPN gateway unit and said attribute information about said VPN client unit described in said access control list (0044, lines 2, 11-13; 0046, lines 3-4; 0052, lines 6-9);

said step (d) including the steps of: (d-1) generating said mutual authentication information for authentication between said VPN client unit and said VPN gateway unit (0108, lines 9-11);

(d-2) encrypting the communication channel between said mediating apparatus and said VPN client unit (0023, lines 3-5), and sending to said VPN client unit information necessary for mutual authentication between said mediating apparatus and said VPN gateway unit (0044, lines 4-8; 0052, lines 6-9);

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/526,935

Art Unit: 2131

(d-3) encrypting the communication channel between said mediating apparatus and said VPN gateway unit (0023, lines 3-5), and sending to said VPN gateway unit information necessary for mutual authentication between said mediating apparatus and said VPN client unit (0044, lines 2, 11-13; 0046, lines 3-4; 0052, lines 6-9).

Daude in view of Malinen does not disclose:

(c-2) searching a domain name server to acquire the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the teachings disclosed by Daude in view of Malinen, as evidenced by Sutanto.

Sutanto discloses a system and method for accessing an embedded web server on a broadband access terminal, the system and method having:

(c-2) searching a domain name server to acquire the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit (0041, lines 1-2, 7-11).

Given the teaching of Sutanto, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the teachings of Daude in view of Malinen with the teachings of Sutanto by using a domain name server to obtain a device's IP address. Sutanto recites motivation by disclosing that communications can be monitored by identifying a dynamic host configuration protocol packet directed to a user terminal, which can be accomplished with the IP address of a domain name server or gateway (0006, lines 1-4, 10-16). It is

Art Unit: 2131

obvious that the teachings of Sutanto would have improved the teachings of Daude in view of Malinen by using a domain name server to obtain an IP address so that communications can be monitored.

As to claim 7, Daude in view of Malinen does not disclose:

wherein: said step (c) includes wherein said VPN client unit captures a DNS query transferred from an in-unit application or another VPN client unit, then collates the source address and contents of said query with filtering conditions, and, if they match the conditions, converts said query to a query to said mediating apparatus;

said step (d) includes a step setting/updating the tunneling protocol configuration management information on the basis of an answer to said query;

said step (e) includes a step of initializing the tunnel as required, passing the private IP address of the communication unit specified by said mediating unit, as the result of said DNS query, to the application of the query source.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the teachings disclosed by Daude in view of Malinen, as evidenced by Sutanto.

Sutanto discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

wherein: said step (c) includes wherein said VPN client unit captures a DNS query transferred from an in-unit application or another VPN client unit, then collates the source address (i.e. MAC address) and contents of said query with filtering conditions, and, if they match the conditions, converts said query to a query to said mediating apparatus (i.e. DNS server) (0031, lines 3-13);

said step (d) includes a step setting/updating the tunneling protocol configuration management information (i.e. HTTP request) on the basis of an answer to said query (0032, lines 14-18);

said step (e) includes a step of initializing the tunnel as required, passing the private IP address of the communication unit specified by said mediating unit, as the result of said DNS query, to the application of the query source (i.e. user terminal) (0031, lines 13-16).

Given the teaching of Sutanto, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the teachings of Daude in view of Malinen with the teachings of Sutanto by intercepting a DNS query and using the resultant information to create a tunnel. Sutanto recites motivation by disclosing that hijacking a DNS query allows for access to diagnostic web pages (0003, lines 10-11) and that creating communications based on the result allows requests for websites to be sent to the IP address through the gateway (0031, lines 16-18). It is obvious that the teachings of Daude in view of Marlinen would have benefited from the teachings of Sutanto by intercepting DNS queries and creating a

Art Unit: 2131

communication line accordingly in order to provide for a way to access diagnostic web pages and provide for website requests through a gateway.

As to claim 8. Daude, combined with Malinen and Sutanto, discloses:

wherein said step (c) wherein said VPN client unit issues a certificate by an SPKI scheme (0069, lines 2-4; 0081, lines 3-5), and another VPN client unit having received said certificate (0069, lines 6-8) sends to said mediating apparatus a request for retrieval of the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit (0052, lines 6-9). The examiner asserts that the SPKI scheme is another way to perform authentication using public keys and that it would have been obvious to use the SPKI scheme to modify the usage of public keys in the teachings of Daude to obtain the claimed invention.

15. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daude in view of Malinen and Sutanto as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Haverinen et al. (US 2004/0208151 A1 and Haverinen hereinafter).

As to claim 6, Daude in view of Malinen, combined with Sutanto, discloses:

wherein, at the time of setting up the encrypted tunnel between said VPN client unit and said VPN gateway unit, said VPN gateway unit performs at least one of (0004, lines 4-9; 0042, lines 1-3): a function of determining the private IP address to be given to said VPN client unit on the basis of said attribute information on said VPN client unit sent from said mediating

Art Unit: 2131

apparatus, and giving the determined private IP address to said VPN client unit; a function of determining a VLAN to be accommodated on the basis of said attribute information about said VPN client unit, a gateway address, an internal DNS address, a WINS server address, etc.; a function of changing packet filtering setting of said VPN gateway unit on the basis of said attribute information (i.e. ACL) (0062, lines 8-11) in order to prevent spoofed packets from reaching the VPN gateway or home agent, as recited by Malinen (0062, lines 8-9, 11-13). It is obvious that the teachings of Daude and Sutanto would have benefited from the teachings of Malinen by creating a tunnel that filters spoofed packets in order to prevent the spoofed packets from reaching the gateway or home agent.

Daude in view of Malinen and Sutanto does not disclose:

wherein when the tunnel established between said VPN gateway unit and said VPN client unit is disconnected or no communication has been conducted via said tunnel for a predetermined period of time, said VPN gateway unit performs tunnel cleanup processing, processing for returning the private IP address assigned to said VPN client unit, and restoring the setting of the packet filtering of said VPN gateway unit used for said VPN client unit concerned.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the teachings disclosed by Daude in view of Malinen and Sutanto, as evidenced by Haverinen.

Art Unit: 2131

Haverinen discloses a system and method for authentication in a wireless telecommunications system, the system and method having:

wherein when the tunnel established between said VPN gateway unit and said VPN client unit is disconnected or no communication has been conducted via said tunnel for a predetermined period of time, said VPN gateway unit performs tunnel cleanup processing, processing for returning the private IP address assigned to said VPN client unit, and restoring the setting of the packet filtering of said VPN gateway unit used for said VPN client unit concerned (0043, lines 33-41).

Given the teaching of Haverinen, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the teachings of Daude in view of Malinen and Sutanto with the teachings of Haverinen by restoring the packet filtering when a tunnel becomes unused. Haverinen recites motivation by disclosing that a record of device connections is kept along with packet transfer information for the purposes of billing (0043, lines 41-46), necessitating that authentication be performed regularly to reflect usage. If authentication fails, the device is not allowed access (i.e. original state). The examiner asserts that if authentication is attempted without a connection, the process will fail and the device will no longer be allowed access. It is obvious that the teachings of Daude in view of Malinen and Sutanto would have benefited from the teachings of Haverinen by resetting filtering information if a connection is lost or unused in order allow for the tracking of device connections.

Art Unit: 2131

 Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daude in view of Sutanto.

As to claim 9, Daude discloses a system wherein: VPN client units and a VPN gateway unit are connected to the IP network (100, 110, 120, 160, Figure 1); communication units (i.e. component) are connected to a local area network placed under the management of the VPN gateway unit (0080, lines 1-4); and a remote-access VPN by a tunneling protocol is implemented between an arbitrary one of said VPN client units and said VPN gateway unit connected to said IP network and an arbitrary one of said communication units connected to said local area network placed under the management of said VPN gateway unit (0076, lines 1-3); said apparatus comprising:

ACL storage means for storing an access control list, hereinafter referred to as ACL, sent from said VPN gateway unit and containing information indicative of the private IP address assigned to said communication unit (0044, lines 2, 11-13; 0046, lines 3-4);

authentication/access authorization control means for authenticating said VPN client unit and said gateway unit, and for executing access authorization control (0052, lines 4-6);

authentication information generating means for generating mutual authentication information for setting up an encrypted tunnel between said VPN client unit and said VPN gateway unit (0108, lines 9-11);

Art Unit: 2131

communication means for sending the IP address of said VPN gateway unit, the private IP address of said communication unit and said mutual authentication information to said VPN client unit (0044, lines 4-8), and for sending the IP address of said PN client unit and said mutual authentication information to said VPN gateway unit (0095, lines 4-6).

Daude does not disclose:

IP address acquiring means for referring to said access control list to acquire the private IP address assigned to said communication unit, and for searching a domain name server to acquire the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the teachings disclosed by Daude, as evidenced by Sutanto.

Sutanto discloses:

IP address acquiring means for referring to said access control list to acquire the private IP address assigned to said communication unit (0003, lines 4-6, 15-16), and for searching a domain name server to acquire the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit (0006, lines 7-9).

Given the teaching of Sutanto, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the teachings of Daude with the teachings of Sutanto by retrieving an IP address of a client from an access control unit and an IP address of a gateway from a domain name server. Sutanto recites motivation by disclosing that retrieving an IP address from a

Art Unit: 2131

server (i.e. list) allows connection when the WAN link is not available when the broadband access terminal is powered up (0003, lines 10-16). Please also refer to the motivation as recited above in respect to claim 5 as to why it is obvious to apply the teachings of Sutanto to the teachings of Daude.

As to claim 10, Daude discloses:

wherein said communication means includes encryption means for encrypting communications between said mediating apparatus and said VPN client unit, and communications between said mediating apparatus and said VPN gateway unit (0023, lines 3-5).

As to claims 11 and 12. Daude discloses:

wherein said authentication/access authorization control means: authenticates said VPN client unit (0096, lines 4-8):

causes said mutual authentication information generating means to generate said mutual authentication information (0108, lines 9-11):

causes said communication means to send the acquired IP address, the private IP address assigned to said communication means, and said generated mutual authentication information to said VPN client unit (0044, lines 4-8).

Daude does not disclose:

Art Unit: 2131

only when the authentication is successful, causes said IP address acquiring means to query the domain name server about the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit and acquire said IP address.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the teachings disclosed by Daude, as evidenced by Sutanto.

Sutanto discloses:

only when the authentication is successful, causes said IP address acquiring means to query the domain name server about the IP address assigned to said VPN gateway unit and acquire said IP address (0041, lines 1-2, 7-11)

Given the teaching of Sutanto, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the teachings of Daude with the teachings of Sutanto by using a domain name server to retrieve the IP address of the gateway. Please refer to the motivation recited above in respect to claim 5 as to why it is obvious to apply the teachings of Sutanto to the teachings of Daude.

As to claim 13, Daude discloses:

wherein said authentication/access authority control means: authenticates said VPN gateway unit (0096, lines 4-8);

only when the authentication is successful, causes said communication means to send the IP address assigned to said VPN client

Art Unit: 2131

unit and said mutual authentication information to said VPN gateway unit (0096. lines 4-8).

As to claim 14. Daude discloses:

wherein said authentication/access authorization control means authenticates said VPN client unit and said VPN gateway unit by an SPKI (Simple Public Key Infrastructure) scheme, and/or executes access authorization control (0052, lines 4-6; 0081, lines 3-5). The examiner asserts that the SPKI scheme is another way to perform authentication using public keys and that it would have been obvious to use the SPKI scheme to modify the usage of public keys in the teachings of Daude to obtain the claimed invention.

As to claim 15, Daude discloses:

wherein said authentication/access authorization control means authenticates said VPN client unit and said VPN gateway unit by a PKI (Public Key Infrastructure) scheme (0052, lines 4-6; 0081, lines 3-5). The examiner asserts that the PKI scheme is another way to perform authentication using public keys and that it would have been obvious to use the PKI scheme to modify the usage of public keys in the teachings of Daude to obtain the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sarah Su whose telephone number is (571) 270-3835.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30AM-5:00PM

FST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sarah Su/

Examiner, Art Unit 2131

/Christopher A. Revak/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2131